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#### Abstract

C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10}\). Orthorhombic, $I b a 2, a=17.424$, $b=13.948, c=8.003 \AA, D_{c}=1.22 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, Z=8$. Full-matrix least-squares refinement gave $R=0.062$ and $R_{w}=0.060$ for 92 parameters and 439 significant


 reflexions $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$.Introduction. The structures of 1,8 -naphthotricyclo[4.1.0.0 ${ }^{2,7}$ ]heptene and its valence isomers $6 \mathrm{~b}, 8 \mathrm{a}$-dihydrocyclobut[a]acenaphthylene (Hazell, 1976) and pleiadiene are being determined as part of a study of strained aromatic hydrocarbons.
The naphthotricycloheptene was prepared by the method of Pagni \& Watson (1973) who kindly provided the crystals. The compound crystallizes from ligroin as colourless prisms elongated along [011] and bounded by ( 100 ) and ( 010 ), the prominent face being (100).

Intensities were collected on a computer-controlled Supper diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, a scintillation counter and a pulseheight analyser. 784 independent reflexions were measured by the background-peak-background method out to $\sin \theta=0.4$. The crystal, $1.0 \times 0.5 \times 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, was sealed in a capillary tube to prevent sublimation, and mounted about $\mathbf{c}$. No corrections were made for absorption.

The structure was determined with MULTAN (Germain, Main \& Woolfson, 1971). Least-squares refinement of atomic coordinates, thermal parameters, TLS, and a scale factor gave $R=0.062$ and $R_{w}=0.060$ for 92 parameters and 439 significant reflexions* [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ]. Refinements in which the molecule was constrained to be symmetric (KONSLS; Pawley, 1971) gave significantly worse $R$ values, Table 1. As there were so few significant reflexions, no refinement with individual anisotropic temperature factors was attempted. The weighting scheme was $w=\sigma(F)^{-2}$ where $\sigma(F)=\left[\sigma_{c}\left(F^{2}\right)+(1+A) F^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-|F|, \sigma_{c}\left(F^{2}\right)$ is the standard deviation of $F^{2}$ from the counting statistics and $A(=0.03)$ a parameter chosen to make $\left\langle w \Delta F^{2}\right\rangle$ in-

* A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 32074 ( 3 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1NZ, England.
dependent of $|F|$. The scattering factors were those of Cromer \& Mann (1968) for C and of Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965) for H.

Atomic coordinates are given in Table 2, thermal parameters in Table 3. Bond lengths were corrected for

Table 1. Results of constrained refinements
$N_{o}$ is the number of reflexions, $N_{p}$ the number of parameters refined. $m \|$ is the mirror plane in the plane of the naphthalene, $m \perp$ the mirror plane perpendicular to it.

| Symmetry | $R$ | $R_{w}$ | $N_{o}$ | $N_{p}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m m 2$ | 0.068 | 0.065 | 439 | 49 |
| 2 | 0.067 | 0.065 | 439 | 59 |
| $m \\|$ | 0.066 | 0.066 | 439 | 66 |
| $m_{\perp}$ | 0.065 | 0.063 | 439 | 62 |
| None | 0.062 | 0.060 | 439 | 92 |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates, in $\AA$, relative to molecular axes

The molecule's origin is at $X_{0}=2.232(8), \quad Y_{0}=3.389, Z_{0}=$ $0.000 \AA$; the orientation is given by the Euler angles (Goldstein, 1959) $\psi=0.327$ (9), $\theta=2 \cdot 162(8), \varphi=1.310(6) \mathrm{rad}$.

|  | $X$ | $Y$ | $Z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(1) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C(2) | 0.00 | -1.41 (1) | 0.00 |
| C(3) | 1.25 (2) | -2.02 (2) | -0.02 (2) |
| C(4) | $2 \cdot 39$ (2) | -1.35 (3) | -0.01 (2) |
| C(5) | 2.40 (1) | 0.06 (2) | 0.00 |
| C(6) | 1.21 (1) | 0.76 (2) | -0.00(1) |
| C(7) | 1.06 (3) | $2 \cdot 20$ (2) | -0.00 (2) |
| C(8) | -0.09 (3) | 2.82 (1) | 0.77 (3) |
| C(9) | -1.24 (2) | -2.09 (2) | $0 \cdot 02$ (2) |
| C(10) | -2.38(2) | -1.40(3) | 0.07 (2) |
| C(11) | -2.40 (2) | -0.00 (3) | 0.06 (2) |
| C(12) | -1.24(1) | $0 \cdot 69$ (2) | 0.02 (2) |
| C(13) | -1.19 (3) | 2.17(2) | 0.03 (3) |
| C(14) | -0.08 (3) | 2.84 (2) | -0.70 (3) |
| H(3) | 1.20 (10) | -2.97(11) | -0.17(11) |
| H(4) | $3 \cdot 22$ (10) | -2.00(13) | -0.10(10) |
| H(5) | 3.27 (8) | 0.57 (12) | 0.04 (10) |
| H(7) | 2.01 (10) | 2.77 (10) | 0.01 (10) |
| H (8) | $0 \cdot 12$ (11) | 3.69 (10) | 1.38 (11) |
| H(9) | -1.23(11) | -3.18(10) | -0.11(11) |
| H(10) | -3.28(10) | -1.87(12) | $0 \cdot 12$ (9) |
| H(11) | -3.24 (8) | 0.48 (10) | $0 \cdot 16$ (9) |
| H(13) | -2.03 (10) | 2.76 (11) | 0.07 (12) |
| H(14) | -0.06(12) | 3.51 (11) | -1.26(11) |

thermal vibration $(4 l \simeq 0.01 \AA)$ and are shown together with angles in Fig. 1.

Discussion. The geometry of the bicyclobutane group is similar to that of the free molecule (Cox, Harmony, Nelson \& Wiberg, 1969). C(7)-C(13) is $2.275 \AA$ ( $2.277 \AA$ in bicyclobutane), which is shorter than $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ in naphthalene, $2.487 \AA$ (Pawley $\&$ Yeats, 1969), resulting in angles $\alpha$, i.e. $C(7)-C(6)-C(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(1)$, smaller $\left[117(2)^{\circ}\right]$ than $120^{\circ}$. The naphthalene fragment is slightly strained, $\beta$ $\left[\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)\right.$ ] being 125 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$. The calculated values are $\alpha=117.4$ and $\beta=122.5^{\circ}$; these were obtained by assuming bond distances to be as in naphthalene and in bicyclobutane with $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)=$ $1.48 \AA$, and by varying the angles to give the minimum strain energy. The deformations are smaller than those in compounds that have a five-membered ring joined to the naphthalene, e.g. 6b,8a-dihydrocyclobut $[a]$ acenaphthylene, $\alpha=108.4$ (2), $\beta=127.3$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$.

The packing is shown in Fig. 2. The morphology does not obey the Donnay \& Harker (1937) rules. The absence of (110) can be accounted for (Hartman, 1968; Hazell, 1971) if the centre of the molecule is at $x= \pm \frac{1}{4}$ or $y= \pm \frac{1}{4}$. The centre of the molecule was found to be at $x=0.123, y=0.237$.


Fig. 1. Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$. The average standard deviations are: $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}) \simeq 0.03, \sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}) \simeq 0.1 \AA, \sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}) 2$ to $3^{\circ}$ and $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}) \simeq 6^{\circ}$. Angles not shown: $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-$ $\mathrm{C}(14)=121, \mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(13)=98, \mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7)=116$, $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)=124, \quad \mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)=118, \quad \mathrm{C}(7)-$ $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)=99, \quad \mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)=110, \mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(14)-$ $H(14)=131^{\circ}$.

Table 3. Thermal parameters ( $\mathbf{T}$ in $\AA^{2} \times 10^{-3}, \mathbf{L}$ in $\operatorname{deg}^{2}, \mathbf{S}$ in $\operatorname{deg} \AA \times 10^{-3}$ )
L and S are referred to an origin $X=2 \cdot 108, Y=3.248, Z=0.019 \AA$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{T}=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
34(2) & -2(2) & \left.\begin{array}{r}
6(2) \\
\\
\\
\\
52(2) \\
37(2) \\
57(2)
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathbf{L}=\left(\begin{array}{lrr}
27 \cdot 5(2 \cdot 0) & 3 \cdot 5(1 \cdot 8) & -11 \cdot 8(1 \cdot 6) \\
& 32 \cdot 3(2 \cdot 2) & -10 \cdot 8(1.8) \\
& & 32 \cdot 8(2 \cdot 2)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}\right. \\
& \mathbf{S}=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
* & 7(53) & -142(37) \\
21(52) & { }_{*}^{*}(64) & 58(46) \\
-93(45) & 54(64) & *
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
S_{33}-S_{22}=-5(92) \\
S_{11}-S_{33}=184(72)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

* It is not possible to determine all three diagonal elements from diffraction data; the differences between the diagonal terms are given.


Fig. 2. A stereoscopic view of the cell contents as seen down [001]; $\mathbf{b}$ is across the page, $\mathbf{a}$ up the page. The molecules at $z=\frac{1}{2}$ are shown with filled bonds.
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#### Abstract

C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}, P 2_{1} / n, Z=4, a=10.661\) (4), $b=$ 13.038 (2), $\quad c=3.816$ (2) $\AA, \quad \beta=93.29$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \quad V=$ $529.6 \AA^{3}, R=6.3 \%$ for 633 observed structure factors. Stacking in columns occurs along [001] with a plane-to-plane distance of $3.36 \AA$.


Introduction. Acid hydrolysis of 3-amino-as-triazine (Rykowski \& van der Plas, 1976) resulted in an imidazo-as-triazine which, on the available spectroscopic evidence, could be either imidazol $1,2-b]$-astriazine (I) or imidazo [2,1-c]-as-triazine (II). In order to establish which was correct a crystal structure determination was undertaken.

(I)

(II)

633 independent intensities ( $I>2.5 \sigma$ ) were collected on a Nonius CAD-4 automatic diffractometer with graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation and the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan method. No absorption correction was applied (crystal dimensions $0.25 \times 0.3 \times 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The structure was solved from an $E^{2}$ Patterson synthesis. From the peaks surrounding the origin the orientation
of the six-membered ring could be obtained. There were several acceptable Patterson peaks that could represent the distance between the centres of two six-membered rings related by a centre of symmetry, each of these providing a possible set of coordinates for the atoms of the six-membered ring. The difference synthesis based on one of these sets contained indications for the three missing non-hydrogen atoms. In the refinement of the rough structure thus obtained all atoms were at first treated as C in isotropic least-squares calculations. Discrimination between N and C was then made on the basis of the resulting thermal parameters. At a later stage a difference synthesis clearly indicated four H atoms, the positions of which confirmed the assignment of C and N . The least-squares refinement, anisotropic for C and N and isotropic for H , converged to $R=6 \cdot 3 \%$ for the 633 observed structure factors. The final parameters are listed in Table 1.*

Discussion. The molecule as found is depicted in Fig. 1. Thus the reaction product is imidazo $1,2-b]$-as-triazine (I). The bond distances and angles are indicated in Fig.

[^0]
[^0]:    * A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 32075 ( 4 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1NZ, England.

